UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,261	08/31/2005	Wolfgang Ruech	4385-045944	2941
28289 THE WEBB L	7590 12/20/2007 AW FIRM, P.C.	EXAMINER		
700 KOPPERS BUILDING			HRUSKOCI, PETER A	
436 SEVENTH AVENUE PITTSBURGH, PA 15219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/519,261	RUECH ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Peter A. Hruskoci	1797			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 October 2007 and 07 November 2007.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 15-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-21,29 and 30 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 22-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the ledge of bythe ledge of bythe ledge on is required if the drawing(s) is object of the drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	,				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In the specification on page 6 line 1 "relief valve V8" and line 20 "E11" do not appear to be labeled in Fig. 1.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 22-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 22 "at least one" appears to be inconsistent with "two flow guide means". In claim 26 "at least one" appears to be erroneous. In claims 26-28 "the hydrolyser" and in claim 28 "the head product" lack clear antecedent basis. Claims 23-25 depend from claim 22.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 22-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berkowitz et al. 4,013,757 in view of Granelli 5,096,599. Berkowitz et al. disclose (see col. 2 line 16 through col. 3 line 30) a method for purification of melamine-containing waste water substantially as claimed. The claims differ from Berkowitz et al. by reciting that the wastewater flows through a specific device at a specific temperature. Granelli disclose (see col. 3 line 7 through col. 4 line 51) that it is known in the art to utilize the recited device, to aid in hydrolyzing an effluent from a urea production plant. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the method of Berkowitz et al. by utilizing the device of Granelli et al., to aid in hydrolyzing the waste water. The specific temperatures and pressures utilized would have been an obvious matter of process optimization to one skilled in the art, depending on the

10/519,261

Art Unit: 1797

specific waste water treated and results desired, absent a sufficient showing of unexpected results. With regard to claims 26 and 27, it is noted that Granelli as applied above, appears to disclose the recited preheating steps.

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berkowitz et al. 4,013,757 in view of Granelli 5,096,599 as above, and further in view of Vasan et al.. The claim differs from the references as applied above, by reciting that a head product of a column is directed to a gas washer. Vasan et al. disclose (see col. 3 lines 3-23) that it is known in the art to utilize a gas washer to aid in separating carbon dioxide from ammonia in a feed gas stream from a stripping column. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the method of the references as applied above, by utilizing the recited gas washer in view of the teachings of Vasan et al., to aid in separating carbon dioxide from a head product of a column.

Applicant's election of Group II in the reply filed on 11/7/07 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). The restriction requirement is made final.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter A. Hruskoci whose telephone number is (571) 272-1160.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00AM-5:00PM.

10/519,261

Art Unit: 1797

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Peter A. Hruskoci Primary Examiner Art Unit 1797

12/18/07